



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,618	07/26/2001	Geeng-Chuan Chern	2102397-911600	9435

26379 7590 06/25/2002

GARY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP
1755 EMBARCADERO ROAD
PALO ALTO, CA 94303-3340

EXAMINER

WEISS, HOWARD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2814

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/916,618

Applicant(s)

CHERN, GEENG-CHUAN

Examiner

Howard Weiss

Art Unit

2814

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 May 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-32 ~~is~~ are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-32 ~~is~~ are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 & 6.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: 2102397-911600

Filing Date: 7/26/01

Continuing Data: Claims benefit of 60/283,110 (4/10/01)

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Chern

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, Claims 23 to 32, in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Claims 1 to 22 have been canceled.

Drawings

2. Figures 1A to 1C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (U.S. Patent No. 5,811,853), Koh (U.S. Patent No. 5,493,138) and Chen (U.S. Patent No. 6,091,104 and hereinafter Chen '104).

Wang shows most aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figures 2 to 8) including:

- a semiconductor substrate **34** of a first conductivity
- first **36** and second **62** second conductivity regions with a channel region therebetween
- a first insulation layer **42** disposed over said substrate
- a floating gate **44** disposed over portions of the first insulation layer and the channel region
- a control gate **48** form over and adjacent to said floating gate and having vertical sidewalls
- an insulation spacer **54** and a third insulation layer (not labeled) form over said control gate

Wang does not show a second insulation layer of tunneling thickness and disposed over and adjacent said floating gate and the second region having an edge aligned with the vertical sidewall of the control gate. Koh teaches (e.g. Figure 8) to form a tunnel insulating layer **78** over and adjacent to a floating gate **22** to improve the integrity of the insulating layer between the floating gate and the control gate (Column 3 Lines 61 to 67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form a tunnel insulating layer over and adjacent to a floating gate as taught by Koh in the device of Wang to improve the integrity of the insulating layer between the floating gate and the control gate.

Chen '104 teaches (e.g. Figure 4G) to align the edge of an impurity region **64** with the edge of the vertical sidewall of a gate **59** and a spacer **66** to improve the performance of the device (Column 3 Lines 38 to 40). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to align the edge of an

impurity region with the edge of the vertical sidewall of a gate and a spacer as taught by Chen '104 in the device of Wang to improve the performance of the device.

6. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang, Koh and Chen '104, as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of admitted Prior Art Figure 1C.

Wang, Koh and Chen '104 show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 5) except for the sharp edge of the floating gate extending into the control gate and a notch into which said sharp edge extends. Admitted Prior Art Figure teaches that it is common, and therefore obvious, to form a notch in a control gate **6** for a sharp edge of a floating gate **1**.

7. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang, Koh and Chen '104, as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Lee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,751,048)

Wang, Koh and Chen '104 show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 5) except for the layer of metalized silicon aligned to the insulation spacer. Lee et al. teach (e.g. Figure 2E) to align a conductive contact to spacers **36** for good step coverage and reliability (Column 4 Lines 23 to 25). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to align a conductive contact to spacers as taught by Lee et al. in the device of Wang, Koh and Chen '104 for good step coverage and reliability

8. Claim 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang, Koh and Chen '104, as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Chen (U.S. Patent No. 6,140,182 and hereinafter Chen '182).

Wang, Koh and Chen '104 show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 5) except for the spaced apart isolation regions. Chen '182 teaches (e.g. Figures 3E and 4) to use isolation regions **36** to provide a new and improved semiconductor device with cell isolation (Column 2 Lines 19 and 20). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use isolation regions as taught by Chen '182 in the device of Wang, Koh and Chen '104 to provide a new and improved semiconductor device with cell isolation.

9. Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang, Koh, Chen '104, and Chen '182, as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of admitted Prior Art Figure 1C.

Wang, Koh, Chen '104, and Chen '182 show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 8) except for the sharp edge of the floating gate extending into the control gate and a notch into which said sharp edge extends. Admitted Prior Art Figure teaches that it is common, and therefore obvious, to form a notch in a control gate **6** for a sharp edge of a floating gate **1**.

10. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang, Koh, Chen '104, and Chen '182, as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of Lee et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,751,048)

Wang, Koh, Chen '104, and Chen '182 show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 8) except for the layer of metalized silicon aligned to the insulation spacer. Lee et al. teach (e.g. Figure 2E) to align a conductive contact to spacers **36** for good step coverage and reliability (Column 4 Lines 23 to 25). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to align a conductive contact to spacers as taught by Lee et al. in the device of Wang, Koh, Chen '104, and Chen '182 for good step coverage and reliability

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

11. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2814 via the Art Unit 2814 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is **(703) 308-7722** or **-7724**. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications. The official TC2800 Before-Final, **(703) 872-9318**, and After-Final, **(703) 872-9319**, Fax numbers will provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt of their fax by the USPTO.
12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at **(703) 308-4840** and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via **Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov**. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 2800 Receptionist at **(703) 308-0956**.

13. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/ 321; 438/ 265	6/21/02
Other Documentation: none	
Electronic Database(s): EAST, IEL	6/21/02

HW/hw
21 June 2002

Howard Weiss
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2814

